



BACHELOR THESIS EVALUATION: THESIS OPPONENT

Thesis topic: Autism Spectrum Art: A Way to Learn

Author: Katarína Oružinská

Advisor: Egon Gál

Opponent: Dana Ahern

Study program: Political Science, Liberal Arts

Evaluation contains objective and critical analysis of a bachelor thesis proposal. Evaluation should be considered by the following criteria:

Criteria for the evaluation of the final thesis	Max. points	Points given by evaluator
1. Methodological aspect (Logical frame, process of inquiry, topic specification, how realistic are set goals and how adequate are proposed working methods)	10	10
2. Sources of domestic and foreign literature, familiarity with relevant literature	15	13
3. Formatting and style	15	15
4. Scope and proportionality of content	5	5
5. Systematic approach	15	15
6. Evaluation of achieved results	40	38
Total	100	96 A

Final evaluation: A (95-100 points), B (83-94 points), C (68-82 points), D (55-67 points), E (50-54 points), Fx(<50 points)

Evaluation, comments, recommendations:

Katarína's work was a great joy to read. It is well organized, clearly outlined, well written. Her topic and the main goals of her work represent important contributions to the field of social psychology, sociology as well as sociology of disability. The social analysis of the artwork of people with intellectual disabilities contributes to an area of study that needs much more exploration and analysis, in order to deepen our understanding of how we construct the concept of humanity and normalcy as well as to create a more inclusive society. The topic of Katarína's work as well as the analysis of her case studies provide an important contribution to this field of study.

Questions for the author (relevant to the content of the Thesis):

1. If you were to continue to explore this topic at a Master's level, which direction would you go in it? What would you explore in more analytical and theoretical depth?
2. Based on your findings and insights from the art you have chosen to analyze, what would be some concrete actionable steps to make a more inclusive society?
3. In what ways could the insights from your study be used to comment on the inherently biased social view "normalcy"?

In Bratislava, on: 15th May 2023 (date)

Signature of evaluator: 